UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,726	09/08/2003	Ken Utagawa	117044	4793
25944 OLIFF & BERI	7590 03/24/200 RIDGE, PLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3208	50	NGUYEN, LUONG TRUNG		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2622	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/24/2008	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/656,726	UTAGAWA, KEN
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	LUONG T. NGUYEN	2622
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 №</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under the process.	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10,12-15,17-29 and 31 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2-10 and 29 is/are w 5) ☐ Claim(s) 20-28 and 31 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,12-15,17-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vithdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	cepted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objection.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati prity documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Art Unit: 2622

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Species VII, Figure 29, in the reply filed on 02/07/2007 is acknowledged.

2. Claims 2-10, 29 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 02/07/2007.

## Response to Amendment

3. The allowable of canceled claims 11 and 16, of which allowable subject matter have been incorporated in independent claims 1 and 15 in the Amendment filed on 11/02/2007, have been withdrawn due to the newly founded reference to Morris et al. (US 6,665,010). Therefore, a new non-final action sets for the below.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2622

5. Claims 1, 12, 14, 15, 17, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanaka (US 6,982,751) in view of Yamada (US 6,914,633) further in view of Morris et al. (US 6,665,010).

Regarding claim 1, Tanaka discloses an imaging device comprising:

a plurality of photosensors (sensor blocks 11, figures 1, 5; column 6, lines 15-23) arranged in matrix on a light-receiving surface of the imaging device, for generating photo signals in accordance with an amount of received light;

a readout section (vertical section 13, figures 1, 5; column 6, lines 15-23; column 9, lines 5-15) for adding up the generated photo signals in each of pixel blocks for external output, the pixel blocks being set on the light-receiving surface, and wherein each of the pixel blocks is constituted of N (N  $\geq$  2) photosensors in an array direction of the matrix (column 9, lines 5-15; column 10, lines 34-46).

Tanaka fails to specifically disclose the pixel blocks in an even number array and the pixel blocks in an odd number array are shifted in phase by half a phase in the array direction. However, Yamada teaches a solid state image pickup device, in which an odd column is disposed being shifted by about a half pitch from an even column (figure 1, column 5, lines 10-19). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device in Tanaka by the teaching of Yamada in order to be capable of realizing high pixel integration and improving a transfer performance of each transfer path without degrading the performance of photoelectric conversion elements (column 2, lines 50-54).

Art Unit: 2622

Tanaka and Yamada fail to specifically disclose a color filter array disposed on the light-receiving surface such that the photosensors in each pixel block have the same color. However, Morris et al. teaches an imager 140, which is spatially divided into multiple (four, for example) groups 113 (groups 113a, 113b, 113c, 113d), one group 113 may be associated with red color and one group 113 may be associated with a green pixel color (figure 5, column 3, lines 5-50). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device in Tanaka and Yamada by the teaching of Morris et al. in order to independently control integration times for different groups of pixels sensing unit that optimizes the dynamic range of the captured image (column 3, lines 25-30).

Regarding claims 12, 17, Morris et al. discloses wherein said color filter array has:

a first color arranged on every pixel block in one of the even number array and the odd number array of the matrix; and a second color and a third color arranged alternately on the pixel blocks in the other of the even number array and the odd number array (Morris et al. teaches an imager 140, which is spatially divided into multiple groups 113 (groups 113a, 113b, 113c, 113d), one group 113 may be associated with red color and one group 113 may be associated with a green pixel color (figure 5, column 3, lines 5-50).

Regarding claims 14, 19, Tanaka discloses wherein said readout section selectively has a high-resolution transport mode in which photo signals are transported in each of said photosensors (frame read mode, column 9, lines 5-13).

Art Unit: 2622

Regarding claim 15, Tanaka discloses an imaging device comprising:

a plurality of photosensors (sensor blocks 11, figures 1, 5; column 6, lines 15-23) arranged in matrix on a light-receiving surface of the imaging device, for generating photo signals in accordance with an amount of received light;

a readout section (vertical section 13, figures 1, 5; column 6, lines 15-23; column 9, lines 5-15) for adding up the generated photo signals in each of pixel blocks set on the light-receiving surface for external output, and wherein each of the pixel blocks is constituted of N (N  $\geq$  2) photosensors in an array direction of the matrix (column 9, lines 5-15; column 10, lines 34-46).

Tanaka fails to specifically disclose the plurality of photosensors arranged in matrix diagonally to horizontal and vertical directions on a light-receiving surface. However, Yamada teaches a solid state image pickup device, in which an odd column is disposed being shifted by about a half pitch from an even column; the (figure 1, column 5, lines 10-19). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device in Tanaka by the teaching of Yamada in order to be capable of realizing high pixel integration and improving a transfer performance of each transfer path without degrading the performance of photoelectric conversion elements (column 2, lines 50-54).

Tanaka and Yamada fail to specifically disclose a color filter array disposed on the light-receiving surface such that the photosensors in each pixel block have the same color. However, Morris et al. teaches an imager 140, which is spatially divided into multiple (four, for example) groups 113 (groups 113a, 113b, 113c, 113d), one group 113 may be associated with red color and one group 113 may be associated with a green pixel color (figure 5, column 3, lines 5-50). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

Art Unit: 2622

was made to modify the device in Tanaka and Yamada by the teaching of Morris et al. in order to independently control integration times for different groups of pixels sensing unit that optimizes the dynamic range of the captured image (column 3, lines 25-30).

6. Claims 13, 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanaka (US 6,982,751) in view of Yamada (US 6,914,633) and Morris et al. (US 6,665,010) further in view of Gallagher et al. (US 6,765,611).

Regarding claims 13 and 18, Tanaka, Yamada and Morris et al. fail to specifically disclose an optical low pass filter for blurring an optical image projected on the light-receiving surface in a direction substantially perpendicular to the array direction of the matrix. However, Gallagher et al. teaches an optical low pass filter 6, which is placed between lens and image sensing device 10, performs a slight blurring of the imaged light (figure 1, column 4, lines 19-25). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device in Tanaka, Yamada and Morris et al. by the teaching of Gallagher et al. in order to reduce the occurrence of alising (column 4, lines 20-25).

# Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 20-28, 31 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claims 20-28, 31, see Examiner's statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter as indicated in Paper mailed on 05/03/2007.

Art Unit: 2622

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to LUONG T. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571) 272-

7315. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, DAVID L. OMETZ can be reached on (571) 272-7593. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

LTN 03/16/08

/LUONG T NGUYEN/ Examiner, Art Unit 2622